

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/725,756	11/30/2000	Hideyo Makino	199892US2	1614
22850 7	7590 04/15/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			PHAM, HAI CHI	
1940 DUKE S'			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		2861	
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			w
3	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Anti- O	09/725,756	MAKINO, HIDEYO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai C Pham	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	٦.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 I 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat		;
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2,6,8,22,26,28 and 41-44 is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2,6,8,22,26,28 and 41-44 is/are rejee. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a construction and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the correction are the co	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a fority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Neferences Cited (*10-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/03) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

FINAL REJECTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 6, 8, 22, 26, 28 and 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima (JP 9-236763) in view of Yamaguchi (U.S. 6,133,566), Naoe et al. (U.S. 5,758,950) and Iwasa et al. (U.S. 6,144,685).

Nakajima discloses a multibeam scanning device having a plurality of semiconductor laser arrays (10, 11) with corresponding collimator lenses (12, 13), and an adjusting means rotating each of the semiconductor laser arrays around a midpoint (M) of a line connecting the centers of the light emitting points, and around the optical axis of the collimator lens (12) (see Figs. 2 and 4). Nakajima further discloses a plurality of corresponding holders (23, 24, 25, Fig. 3) for holding the semiconductor laser arrays and the collimator lenses, the holders include through-holes for accommodating the semiconductors lasers and interfitting rods (25a, 25b, Fig. 3) protruding from the means for holding for securing the respective collimator lenses such that an optical axis of the respective collimator lense coincides with a midpoint between the plurality of light emitting points of the respective semiconductor laser arrays (Figs. 2, 3).

However, Nakajima does not explicitly disclose the semiconductor array having a plurality of light-emitting points positioned at an equidistant pitch, and wherein the number of light emitting points being equal to 4.

However, it is well known in the printing art that higher number of light emitting points are commonly used to scan the surface of the photosensitive member as evidenced by Yamaguchi, which discloses a multiple beam scanning apparatus comprising a plurality of semiconductor laser arrays as light sources (2₁-2_M, Fig. 1), each including more than two light emitting points (five light emitting points as shown in Figs. 5-6) positioned in linear relationship to one another and having an equidistant pitch so as to respectively emit laser beams simultaneously scanned over a recording substrate (photosensitive drum 8). Yamaguchi further teaches the provision of respective collimator lenses (3₁-3_M) each of the optical axis of which is aligned with the midpoint the plurality of light emitting points of the respective semiconductor laser arrays (Fig. 17).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a semiconductor array having more than two light-emitting points as taught by Yamaguchi in the device of Nakajima. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a higher speed to the printing device where a plurality of scanning lines can be formed simultaneously.

Nakajima also fails to teach the flange protruding from an edge of the portion of the interfitting rod portion (25a, 25b) of the laser arrays/collimator lenses holders.

Art Unit: 2861

Page 4

However, Naoe et al. discloses an image forming apparatus comprising a semiconductor laser (2), a collimator lens (4), and a holder (3) for holding the semiconductor laser and the collimator lens, the holder being provided with a throughhole (bore 3a) through which the semiconductor laser is inserted and secured, an interfitting rod portion (annular stepped portion 3h) protruding from the holder and a flange (lens support portion 3d) protruding from a portion of the interfitting rod portion for securing the collimator lens (Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the collimator lens holder with the extended flange portion as taught by Naoe et al. in the device of Nakajima. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the collimator lens to be securely fixed and aligned with the semiconductor laser.

Nakajima also does not expressly teach the claimed relationship:

$$\theta \leq \tan^{-1} \{1/(n-1)\}.$$

Regardless, Iwasa et al. discloses a multibeam recording apparatus in which the laser source array is arranged such that the laser beam spots on the surface of the recording medium are aligned (inclined line M', Fig. 7A) in the sub-scanning direction, and are inclined with respect to the main scanning direction (base line N') to form an angle θ ' with the main scanning line. The disposition of the laser beam spots on the recording medium as well as the angle θ ' are image of the corresponding structure of the laser source array, and result from a predetermined magnification. Table 2 (col. 11)

Art Unit: 2861

Page 5

shows the parameters of the multibeam recording apparatus in its basic configuration, where:

m = 30 (m is the number of laser beam spots in the sub-scanning direction)
$$\theta = \theta' = 88.1^{\circ}$$

The angle formed by the line drawn perpendicular to the primary (main) scanning direction and the line drawn through respective centers of the first to the m-th laser beam spots becomes:

$$90^{\circ} - \theta' = 90^{\circ} - 88.1^{\circ} = 1.8^{\circ}$$

and the value of

$$tan^{-1} \{1/(m-1)\} = tan^{-1} \{1/(30-1)\} = 1.97^{\circ}$$

Therefore,

$$90^{\circ} - \theta' \le \tan -1 \{1/(m-1)\}$$

which amply satisfies the claimed inequality.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Nakajima with the aforementioned teachings of Iwasa et al. for the purpose of adjusting the pitch of the scanning lines.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 6, 8, 22, 26, 28 and 41-44 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action.

Art Unit: 2861

Conclusion

Page 6

4. Applicant's amendment, which changed the scope of each of the base claims, necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2260. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2861

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HAI PHAM

PRIMARY EXAMINER

HaichiPhan

April 9, 2004